

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/546,093 | 04/10/2000 | David S. Wehrle | 00AB108 | 2591 | |
| 7590 02/26/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| John J Horn Allen Bradley Company Inc Patent Dept 704 P Floor 8 T 29 1201 South Second Street | | | BAROT, BHARAT | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2155 | /) | |
| Milwaukee, WI 53204 | | | DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | PRI |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/546,093 | WEHRLE ET AL. | |
| Than son y modern | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Bharat N Barot | 2155 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence addre | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appl 1) a timely filed amendment wh | ication. A proper replaich places the application | ly to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in to nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 history amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se .136(a) and the appropriate to the fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (2) | ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in |
| 1. ☑ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 January 2004</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | orth in |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by | pecause: | | |
| (a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or si | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE: | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claim | IS. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S. | | isidered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLEL | Y to issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: None | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app | proved or b) disapproved by | y the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | • | _ |
| 10. Other: | Bi | Lewat Ba | root. |
| | 8 | HARAT BAROT MARY EXAMINER | |
| | | (703)305-4092 | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments toward final rejection are not persuasive and final rejection stands.

^